Bjørnafjorden floating bridge, Norge

Tina Vejrum Vice President, Major Bridges International



Bjørnafjorden, Norway Agenda

Ferry free E39
Existing Norwegian Floating Bridges
Existing North American Floating Bridges
Sognefjorden TLP Suspension Bridge
Bjørnafjorden crossing concepts
Bjørnafjorden Floating Bridge

AH.

Route E39 Kristiansand-Trondheim

- The floating bridge at Bjørnafjorden is part of the route E39 Kristiansand-Trondheim
- The E39 route is 1100 km long and have today 8 ferry links (marked in red)
- The total budget E39 as a ferry free connection is estimated to US\$ 25 billion over 20 years (2014-33)
- > 50% of Norwegian traditional export value comes from this area



Key figures for the 8 fjord crossings at E39

- > Halsafjorden, 2 km width, 5-600 m water depth
- Moldefjorden, 13 km subsea tunnel with 330 m water depth
 + 1.6 km bridge with 5-600 m water depth
- > Storfjorden/Sulafjorden, 3.4 km width, 500 m water depth
- > Voldafjorden, 2.5 km width, 600 m water depth
- > Nordfjorden, 1.7 km width, 3-500 m water depth
- > Sognefjorden, 3.7 km width, 1250 m water depth
- > Bjørnafjorden, 4-5 km width, 5-600 m water depth
- > Boknafjorden, Rogfast Subsea tunnels, 26.7 km with 390 m water depth (alt. floating bridge 7.5 km at 550 m depth)
 4 OS/04/2016 DANSK BRODAG 2016



Bergsøysund Bridge, Norway

- > 1st floating bridge in Norway opened in 1992
 - > 931 m bridge with 8 spans
 - > Steel truss girder and concrete pontoons
 - > Typical span of 106m with 6m clearance
 - > On the route E39, south of Kristiansund
 - > Installed in one piece/operation
 - Designed using "recent" technology from American floating bridges and Norwegian offshore platforms





Nordhordland bridge, Norway

- > Longest self-anchored floating bridge, 1994
 - > 1243 m floating low level and approach bridges
 - > Cable stayed concrete main bridge on land
 - > 10 light weight concrete pontoons (22 kN/m³)
 - > Orthotropic steel girder for floating bridge part
 - > Navigational span of 172m x 32m
 - > On the route E39, north of Bergen
 - > Floating bridge installed in one piece/operation







Floating Bridges with COWI involvement

- > William R. Bennett Bridge, Kelowna, BC, Canada, opened 2008
 - > Detailed design, construction services
- > SR 520 Floating Bridge, Seattle to Medina, WA, USA, 2011
 - > Bid Design
- > Sognefjorden, Norway, 2013
 - > Conceptual design
- > Bjørnafjorden, Norway, ongoing
 - > Conceptual & preliminary design





William R. Bennett Bridge, Canada

- > 690 m of floating concrete pontoons with an elevated deck
 - > Preliminary and detailed Design
 - > Design Criteria and construction services
- > Bridge details
 - > 75 years service life
 - > 52m navigation span with 18m clearance
 - > 5 traffic lanes







SR 520 Floating Bridge, Seattle, WA, USA

- > 2.35km floating bridge: The world's longest
 - > Design & Build: Bid design for JV of contractors
 - > Pontoons (concrete) and elevated superstructure (6 lanes)
 - > Transition spans and approach spans
 - > Alternative pontoon design allowed a significant saving
- > Bid design involves disciplines within
 - > Sophisticated combined wind and wave loading
 - > Live loads
 - > Buoyancy and floating stability
 - > Damage and flood scenarios

9 05/04/2016 DANSK BRODAG 2016





New bridge to open in spring '16

Sognefjorden, tension leg suspension bridge, Norway

- > Multiple span suspension bridge
- > 3 main spans of 1234m
- > 3700m wide and 1300m deep fjord
- > Total bridge length of 4.4km
- > 2 x 2 traffic lanes
- > Pedestrain/cycle path





COWI



Sognefjorden, tension leg suspension bridge, Norway

- > Bridge design details
 - > 250m steel towers
 - > Orthotropic steel box girder
 - > 180m 9-cell Condeep type floating pontoons
- > The conceptual design involves disciplines within:
 - > Structural analyses
 - > Wind and wave dynamics
 - > Cable and ship impact dynamics
 - > Marine geotech and operations







COWI

- 3 different concepts are being considered for the crossing
- > Floating bridge with cable stayed main bridge
- > 3-span suspension bridge with central TLP's
- > Tubular Bridge (submerged tunnel)





2 different layouts are being considered for the floating bridge

- > Curved bridge alignment
 - > Twin box girder with cross beams (vierendeel)
 - > Anchored in each abutment c/c 4.6km
 - > Lateral forces obtained by horizontal arch



13 05/04/2016 DANSK BRODAG 2016



- > Straight bridge alignment
 - > Mono box girder
 - > Laterally anchored by mooring lines

Bjørnafjorden, Norway General bridge details

- > Central 450m cable stayed main span
 - > 400m x 45m navigation clearance
 - > Aerodynamic bridge girder
- > 200m typical spans
- > Light weight concrete pontoons
- > Steel superstructure
 - > 160m towers
 - > Orthotropic deck
- > 2 x 2-lane highway

> 110 km/t







Alternative/preferred alignment

- > South navigation span
 - > Terrain suitable for elevated abutment (+55m)

Elevation

- > Concrete tower & main bridge on land
- > 450/490m cable stayed main span
- > 197m/203m typical spans
 - > Steep alignment after anchor pier (4%)
 - > Main part is low level
 - > 11.5m clearance (typical)
- > Cost optimal



Design basis

- > NPRA Handbooks
 - > N100 & N400
- > Eurocode NS-EN199X
 - > EN 1990-1993
- > DNV rules and regulations
 - > Floating bridges are not covered by the Eurocode system
- > Ultimate limit state typically governs design (Q-ENV)
 - > Ship impact: Locally piers, girder and pontoon walls
 - > Construction: Free standing tower (straight bridge, 100 year storm)
 - > Fatigue not yet analysed



| | , | J | Win | d drive | en sea | | | | Ocear | n swel | | |
|-------------------|-----------|-----------------|-----|---------|--------|-----|------|------|-------|--------|------|------|
| Design basis | Hs[m] | Tp [s]: | 3.0 | 4.0 | 5.0 | 6.0 | 12.0 | 14.0 | 16.0 | 17.0 | 18.5 | 20.0 |
| | Wave dir: | Wave dir [deg]: | | | 3 | | | | | | | |
| > Wave conditions | N | 180 | 0.9 | 1.6 | na | na | na | na | na | na | na | na |
| > N-Parallel | N-NW | 202.5 | 0.9 | 1.6 | 2.5 | na | na | na | na | na | na | na |
| | NW | 225 | 0.9 | 1.6 | 2.5 | 3 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| > W-Perpendicular | W-NW | 247.5 | 0.9 | 1.6 | 2.5 | 3 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| | W | 270 | 0.9 | 1.6 | 2.5 | 3 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 |

> Wind conditions

| Return period | Velocity at 10 m [m/s] | Velocity at 52 m [m/s] |
|---------------|------------------------|------------------------|
| 1 | 22.9 | 28.4 |
| 100 | 31.7 | 39.3 |

> Comfort criteria

| Motion | Load | Criterion |
|--|---------------|-------------|
| Vertical deflection due to traffic | 0.7 x traffic | Approx. 1 m |
| Rotation about bridge axis (roll) due to traffic | 0.7 x traffic | 1 deg |
| Rotation about bridge girder axis (roll) due to env. loads | 1 year storm | 1.5 deg |
| Vertical acceleration | 1 year storm | 0.5m/s2 |
| Horizontal acceleration | 1 year storm | 0.3m/s2 |





Analysis methods

- > Waves
 - > Fetch and diffraction analysis for wind driven and swell wave conditions
 - > Orcaflex, Time domain, Geometric and hydrodynamic non-linear effects
- > Wind
 - > Frequency domain, NovaFrame, Geometrical non-linear effects taken into account
 - > Vortex shedding, divergence and galloping investigated (flutter pending future WTT)
- > Other loads
 - > Permanent, temperature, tidal and traffic loads (static)
 - > RM Bridge, static





Analysis methods

- > 3 programmes used separately
 - > Design forces obtained by combination factors
- > Correlation between wind and waves for bridge girder
 - > Initially $\alpha = 0.8$ (dynamic)
 - > Examined in OrcaFlex
 - > Reduced to $\alpha = 0.6$
- > Future software
 - > To cover all load effects

General pontoon design

- > Light-weight concrete
- > Post-tensioned
 - > Watertight with no cracks
- > Designed and optimized for:
 - > Wind and swell generated waves
 - > Heave and surge modes
 - > Ship impact (local strengthening)
 - > Co-existent wind and waves
 - > Roll from eccentric traffic
 - > Flooding (2 cells)

| Criteria | Туре | Requirement | Consequence | Chosen design |
|--|----------|----------------------------------|---|---------------------------------|
| Free board | Safety | 4.0m | More concrete -> higher pontoon eigen weight | 4.0m |
| Vertical deflection due to traffic | Function | <1.0m | C33>~10 MN/m | 17.5 MN/m |
| Rotation about bridge axis due to traffic | Function | <1.0deg | C44>~2500 MNm/rad | 2600 MNm/rad -> 4600 MNm/rad |
| Wall thickness | Safety | 0.6m longside, 1.0m shortside | More concrete -> higher pontoon eigen weight | 0.6m longside, 1.0m shortside |

- Pontoon design optimisation
- > To limit weak axis bending in girder
- > Mainly caused by heave modes (total bridge)
 - > Surge/pendulum modes (elevated bridge part)
- > Avoid vertical wave triggered eigen modes
 - > Eigen periods above wind driven sea (primarily)
 - > Parameters; mass, depth, length, width, form, span
 - > Closely spaced modes ($f_{10} \approx f_{20}$)

Mode: 11

Mode: 14

Pontoon design optimisation

> Examined parameters

- > Form: Circular, basecase, oblong, "oval"
- > Draft & displacement (minor influence)
- > Added mass (flange & keel, major influence)
- > Span length (150m & 200m)
- > Wave and heave eigen periods

General superstructure design

- > High-strength S460 steel
- > Orthotropic steel girders
- > Designed and optimized for:
 - > Co-existent wind and waves

6.5

- > Vierendeel
- > Ship impact
- > Aerodynamics

Curved twin box girder and cross beam

General superstructure design

- > Reinforced concrete towers on land
 - > Mono-tower / A-shaped
 - > Girder free longitudinally and restrained laterally
 - > 5.5m eccentricity
- > Steel piers and floating towers (S460)
 - > Monolithic connected to bridge girder

Superstructure design development

- > Wave/tidal loads \Rightarrow Span length \Rightarrow Girder height
- > CFD analysis \Rightarrow Bridge girder and tower shape
- > Diaphragm & individual pedestrian girder \Rightarrow Quantities
- > Main bridge on land in south \Rightarrow Cost optimal
- > Cross beam size and position/spacing \Rightarrow Global buckling and local stress peaks
- > Connection at tower; Monolithic \Rightarrow free
- > Stay cables \Rightarrow compact design (drag)
- > Minimum clearance increased to 11.5m \Rightarrow Girder head-on-bow avoided

- Ship impact analysis
- > Head-on-bow collisions with pontoon
- > Deckhouse collision with girder
- > Monte Carlo simulation
 - > 250 MJ at anchor pier
 - > 110 MJ elsewhere
- > Ship impact governs
 - > Girder and top column design locally (HoB)
 - > Pontoon wall thickness 1.1m

Mooring cables (straight bridge)

- > 6 x 3 mooring lines with 25 design life
 - > Governed by ULS
 - > Accidental loss of cable (pending 2 cables, possible 6 x 4 lines)
 - > Gravity anchors

| | Bottom chain | Wire | Top chain |
|----------|--------------|--------------|-------------|
| Туре | R4 studless | Mooring rope | R5 studless |
| Length | 100m | 640m / 920m | 20m |
| MBL | 25.2 MN | 24.5 MN | 27.9 MN |
| Diameter | 175mm | 290mm | 175mm |

Horisontal distance [m

Key figures with south navigation span

| Curved Bridge | | | | | |
|---------------|--------|--------|--|--|--|
| Steel | 118700 | tonnes | | | |
| Concrete | 145770 | m³ | | | |
| Stay cables | 1900 | tonnes | | | |
| Ballast | 105000 | m³ | | | |

| Straight Bridge | | | | | |
|-----------------|--------|--------|--|--|--|
| Steel | 80100 | tonnes | | | |
| Concrete | 133240 | m³ | | | |
| Stay cables | 1050 | tonnes | | | |
| Ballast | 63500 | m³ | | | |
| Mooring cables | 18 | nos | | | |

28 05/04/2016 DANSK BRODAG 2016

Main bridge construction

- > Side span on scaffolding
- > Main span by free cantilever
- > Main bridge designed for 100 year storm during construction

Construction floating bridge / Marine Operations

- > Production of pontoons/abutments
 - > Dry or floating dock
- > Floating assembly location
 - > Pontoons, columns and bridge girder
 - > Sheltered inside Bjørnafjord ~
- > Bridge location —

30

- > Stay cable bridge
- > Abutment at Flua
- > Mooring cables (straight bridge)
- > Installation of floating bridge 05/04/2016 DANSK BRODAG 2016

Construction floating bridge / Marine Operations

- > 1 total floating bridge section of 3750m
- > Assembled at shallow "still" water
- > Towed to site in a single weather restricted operation
- > Temporary guide/winch and joint brackets

Future investigations in spring '16

- > Further parametric studies
- > Increase navigational clearance from 45m to 55m
 - > Surge/pendulum motion sensitive
- > Alternative pontoon design (further optimisation)
- > Sensitivity study

32

- > Superstructure stiffness ±10%
- > Geotechnical site investigations (NGI)
 - > South abutment and tower foundation on land
 - > North abutment on Flua at 40m water depth
 - Mooring anchor positions at 550m water depth
 O5/04/2016 DANSK BRODAG 2016

1385m - 1325m - 1385m Tension Leg Platform Suspension Bridge (TLP)

> Offshore & suspension bridge technology

- > 3 suspended spans, 4095m total
 - 2 floating tower foundations, TLP's

Steel towers

Steel or concrete foundation/platforms

Steel pipe tension legs, 6-8 pr. TLP

Concrete towers on land & Flua (40m water depth, N)

Orthotropic steel girder

Steel or concrete viaduct bridge girder

Concept and time frame

- > Above or below water?
 - > Expert group meeting 2/3-16
 - > Investeringsanslag 10/3-16
- > SVV general project model

35 05/04/2016 DANSK BRODAG 2016

